

HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

MISC. CIVIL APPLICATION NOS.1659/91, 238/92, 1622/92,
1822/92 and 956/93.

Date of decision:11.12.1995.

For approval and signature

The Honourable Mr. Justice S.M.Soni

and

The Honourable Mr. Justice R.R.Jain

MCA No.1659/91

Mr. H.M. Parikh, advocate for petitioner.
Mr. G.M. Joshi, advocate for respondent.

MCA No.238/92

Mr. M.S. Jaiswal, advocate for petitioner.

MCA No.1622/92

Mr. Y.S. Lakhani, advocate for petitioner.

MCA No.1822/92

Mr. R.V. Desai, advocate for petitioner.

MCA No.956/93

Mr. S.S.Balsare, advocate for petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed
to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
3. Whether their Lordships wish to see the fair copy
of judgment?
4. Whether this case involves a substantial question
of law as to the interpretation of the

Constitution of India, 1950 or any order made thereunder?

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge?

Coram: S.M.Soni & R.R.Jain, JJ.

December 11, 1995.

Oral judgment (Per Soni, J.)

As common question of law is involved in all these petitions, they are disposed of by this common judgment.

Petitioners have filed these applications for taking necessary action under the Contempt of Courts Act alleging that respondents have not complied with the award passed by the Labour Court. In view of the judgment of the Supreme Court in the case of the Alahar Co-operative Credit Service Society v. Sham Lal, reported in 1995 (2) GLH 550, Labour Court is not a court subordinate to High Court as contemplated under Section 10 of the Contempt of Courts Act. Hence, these petitions are not maintainable and are liable to be dismissed and are hereby dismissed. Rule is discharged in each petition. No costs.